

Survival Estimation: Known-Fate Models

Population Modeling
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL
February-March 2016



Some Known-fate Models

- **Binomial survival model**
- **Nest success**
- **Radiotelemetry data**
- **Study design**

Binomial Survival Model

- Follow n subjects, x of them survive with probability s

$$f(x/n, s) = \binom{n}{x} s^x (1-s)^{n-x}$$

$$\hat{s} = \frac{x}{n}; \text{var}(\hat{s}) = \frac{\hat{s}(1-\hat{s})}{n}$$

- Independent
- All detected
- No censoring

Mule Deer Example

	Number Released	Alive	Dead	Other
Treatment	61	19	38	4
Control	59	21	38	0

Treatment group:

$$\hat{s} = \frac{19}{61} = .311; \text{var}(\hat{s}) = \frac{0.311(1-0.311)}{61} = 0.003899$$

Nest Success

- Important component of reproductive rate for many species (e.g., birds, many reptiles)
- Definition:
 - Pr (new nest succeeds to produce at least one hatchling or fledgling)
- Used with mean hatchlings/fledglings per successful nest

Inference About Nest Success: Example

- Random sample of n bird nests
 - $y = 1$ represents nest success, $y = 0$ represents nest failure
 - 10 nests are observed daily until success or failure
 - outcome $y = \{1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1\}$
- Assume: nest fates are independent and identically distributed with unknown probability p of nest success. We can model the probability associated with success
- $\text{Pr}(x \text{ successes out of } 10 \text{ nesting attempts})$ is binomial:

$$f(x/p) = \binom{10}{x} p^x (1-p)^{10-x} \quad L(p/x=6) = \binom{10}{6} p^6 (1-p)^4$$

$$\frac{6}{p} - \frac{4}{1-p} = 0, \quad \hat{p} = 0.6. \quad \text{Is this OK?}$$

Nest Studies and the Mayfield Method

- Nest searches estimate nest success
 - Many nests encountered late in nesting phase
 - Positive bias in survival
 - "Early" nests have more survival days
 - Chance of failure related to # of days remaining until success
- Harold Mayfield (amateur birder) proposed idea
 - Estimate a daily nest survival probability, s , from sample
 - Use knowledge of number of days in entire nesting cycle, d , to estimate nesting success, S , as:

$$\hat{S} = \hat{s}^d$$

Study Design

- Search for nests
 - Encounter active nest: revisit at intervals until
 - Failure
 - Fledging
- Intervals $l = 1, \dots, L$
- n_l = Number of intervals of duration l for which fate was recorded
 - $= n_{ls} + n_{lf}$ (n_{ls} with success, n_{lf} with failures)

Mayfield's Estimator

- MLE for case where all nests visited each interval (every day)

$$\hat{s} = \frac{n_s}{n_l}; \hat{\text{var}}(\hat{s}) = \frac{\hat{s}(1-\hat{s})}{n_l}$$

- n_l = Number of intervals of duration 1 for which fate was recorded
 - $= n_s + n_f$ (n_s with successes, n_f with failures)

More General Approach

CA-A-A-A-A-A-A-N

CA-----A-A-----A

CA---A---A---N

CA-A-A-A-A-A-A-A

CA = discovered nest; A = active; N = not active

- n_l = Number of intervals of duration l for which fate was recorded
 - $= n_{ls} + n_{lf}$ (n_{ls} with success, n_{lf} with failures)

Example L=6

Interval length	n_l	n_{ls}
1 (s)	81	79
2 (s^2)	30	29
3 (s^3)	61	61
4 (s^4)	37	36
5 (s^5)	11	10
6 (s^6)	21	19

$$\hat{S} = 0.989, (0.981, 0.997)$$

Estimation

- Binomial for each l , with survival constant but taken to appropriate power

$$f(n_{ls} / n_l, s) = \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{n_l!}{n_{ls}! n_{lf}!} (s^l)^{n_{ls}} (1 - s^l)^{n_{lf}}$$

- MARK can be used to estimate s

Assumptions

- Rates constant
 - Accommodate variation through stratification
- Visits recorded
- $\Pr(s)$ not influenced by observer
- $\Pr(\text{visit})$ independent of $\Pr(\text{survival})$

Untrastructural model for covariates

- Associate factors with success

$$s = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \sum_j \beta_j x_j)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \sum_j \beta_j x_j)}}$$

Nest Success Models: Extensions/Advances

- Pollock and Cornelius (1988)
 - Use age of nest when first encountered to estimate age (stage) specific survival of nests
- Natarjan and McCulloch (1999)
 - Random-effects model (heterogeneity)
- Dinsmore et al. (2002)
 - Age-specific survival to approximate heterogeneity
- Rotella et al. (2004)
 - Review of approaches
- Etterson et al. (2007)
 - Partitioning risk (causes of failure)

Design Issues: Nest Success

- Can predict n of samples (nests) needed
- Tension between more nests and more visits
 - Fewer visits & more nests = increased precision
 - Fewer visits = less information on stage transitions and fledging
- Need to worry about time of fledging
 - If miss final days, confuse mortality for success
 - Possible positive bias in survival rate
 - Age nests, and time visits for first possible day of fledging; do not include exposure days after that date
 - More formal approaches: Stanley (2000), Stanley and Grubb (2004)

Radiotelemetry Studies

- Unlike nest studies, no natural endpoint
- Heisey and Fuller (1985) approach
 - Assumes that survival may vary among intervals L_i , $i=1, \dots, k$ (over time)
 - x_i Number of “transmitter” days
 - d_i Number of deaths in interval

$$\hat{S}_i = \frac{(x_i - d_i)}{x_i} \quad \hat{S} = \prod_{i=1}^k \hat{S}_i^{L_i}$$

- Censuring can occur (varies number of transmitter days)

Source-Specific Mortality

- $m_{ij} = \Pr(\text{animal alive during a day in interval } i \text{ dies during the day from mortality source } j)$
- $d_{ij} = \text{number of deaths in interval } i \text{ resulting from mortality source } j$

$$\hat{m}_{ij} = \frac{(d_{ij})}{x_i}$$

- Probability that animal dies as result of source j during interval i is

$$\hat{M}_{ij} = \hat{m}_{ij} + \hat{s}_{ij} \hat{m}_{ij} + \hat{s}_{ij}^2 \hat{m}_{ij} + \dots + \hat{s}_{ij}^{L_i-1} \hat{m}_{ij}$$

$$= [\hat{m}_{ij} / (1 - \hat{s}_{ij})] (1 - \hat{s}_{ij}^{L_i})$$

Kaplan-Meier Nonparametric Survival Model

- Discrete hazard function h_j ;
 Pr(die on day j / alive just prior to j)
 - d_j = # of animals that die at time j , for observed times at death, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_j
 - r_j = # of animals at risk in just prior to t_j
$$\hat{h}_j = \frac{d_j}{r_j}$$
- Empirical estimate of $S(t)$;
 Pr(survive until at least t) $\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^t (1 - \hat{h}_j)$
- Product limit estimator

Kaplan-Meier Nonparametric Survival Model

- Pollock et al (1989) noted that model and estimator can accommodate
 - Addition of animals
 - Temporary censoring
 - Permanent censoring
 - modify r_j to subtract deaths and censoring and add new animals

Parametric and Nonparametric Survival Models

- Failure time analysis: huge field
 - Models of time until failure
 - Model instantaneous hazard rate $h(t)$
- Earlier models:
 - Fixed time periods, binomial models
- Parametric models (model survival function)
 - Proportional hazards, Weibull
- Kaplan-Meier Nonparametric Survival Model

Design Considerations I

- Capture n animals
 - How many? Use binomial model for sample allocation
 - “Staggered entry” is OK
- Postrelease adjustment period
 - Minimize possible behavioral and radio effects

Design Considerations II

- Periodically survey area, record fates (alive or dead), cause of death
 - Study area must be small enough to permit frequent surveys
 - Try to prevent censoring
 - Animals not encountered should be censored, and if later resighted should be considered as a new staggered entry*
 - Censoring must be random and independent of fate
 - Frequently violated in studies